# CORRIGENDUM

Call for proposals: SESAR-2017-1  
VLD Geofencing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N.</th>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Reference in document</th>
<th>Corrigendum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1   | Exclusion Criteria (clarification) | Section 7.1. of the Call for Proposals “EXCLUSION” | The first indent of the relevant section (pag. 4) is corrected accordingly (elements in red are deleted):  

The purpose of exclusion criteria is to eliminate applicants whose future existence or actual ability to regularly implement the action/work programme is under question, situations referred in Articles 106(1) and 107 of the FR. Please note that the check on exclusion criteria also apply to the affiliated entities. |

| 2   | Eligibility of costs (correction made as a clarification, in line with Article I.2 (2) of the MGA) | Section 11.1 of the Call for proposals “Eligible costs” | The first indent of the relevant section (pag. 10) is corrected accordingly (elements in red are deleted):  

“Eligible costs shall meet all the following criteria:  
– they are incurred by the |
beneficiary.

- they are incurred during the duration of the action [the work programme], with the exception of costs relating to final reports and audit certificates;
  
  - The period of eligibility of costs will start as specified in the grant agreement.

- If a beneficiary can demonstrate the need to start the action before the agreement is signed, the costs eligibility period may start before that signature. Under no circumstances can the eligibility period start before the date of submission of the grant application.

- they are indicated in the estimated budget;

- they are necessary for the implementation of the action the work programme which
is the subject of the grant;”

The first indent of the relevant section (pag. 11) is corrected accordingly (elements in red are deleted):

11.1. Eligible direct costs

The eligible direct costs for the action are those cost which:

with due regard for the conditions of eligibility set out above, are identifiable as specific costs directly linked to the performance of the action-the work programme and which can therefore be booked to it directly, such as

Other elements of the same section remain unchanged.

| 3 | Submission requirements (correction of inconsistency of the text with the provisions above related to submission deadline) | Section 14 of the Call for proposals “PROCEDURE FOR THE SUBMISSION OF PROPOSALS” | The last indent of the relevant section (pag. 20) is corrected accordingly (elements in red are deleted and elements in blue are added):

“Applications sent by fax or e-mail
| 4 | Submission requirements  
(clarification of submission modalities) | Section 14 of the Call for proposals  
“PROCEDURE FOR THE SUBMISSION OF PROPOSALS” | The last indent of the relevant section (pag. 20) is corrected accordingly (elements in blue are added):  
“When submitting their applications, applicants are strongly encouraged to send an email (without any attachment) to the following functional mailbox: info-call@sesarju.eu, informing the SJU of the name/title of their proposal and confirmation of its submission.” |
|---|---|---|---|
| 5 | NEW!!  
Deadline for submission of proposals  
(extension of the deadline) | Section 3 of Call for Proposals  
“TIMETABLE” | Deadline for submitting applications:  
15 November 2017 at 11.30 am  
(Brussels time) |

will not be accepted. The applicants are strongly advised to use the delivery service offering tracking option of the sent items. Any proposal received by submitted to the SJU after the deadline—even when sent before the deadline—but received by the SJU after the deadline, will not be examined and returned unopened to the applicant.”

Other elements of the same section remain unchanged.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Insertion of page numbers (formatting improvement)</th>
<th>n/a</th>
<th>Page numbers have been inserted in order to ease the readability of the document. Other elements of the document remains unchanged</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
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