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Observations & Motivation

- The noise generated by airplanes in approach significantly exceeds in practice the levels that could be reached while flying exactly the same 3D-trajectory.
- Optimal energy management by changes of speed, altitude, and with wind effects proves to be challenging for a pilot and with the current indications in the cockpit
  - Often conservative strategies are adopted by the pilots
    - Safe but loud...
    - Limited energy awareness
    - Increased fuel consumption

What is the reason for such huge variations?
What were the differences between these approaches?

- The environmental conditions and the requirements of air traffic control make every approach unique
Observations & Motivation

Analysis of current daily operations

Flight Data: Position of landing gear extension and related speed

Example: Zurich RWY 14, ‘Extending landing gear’ (lever, A320)

- About 12794 approaches evaluated: landing gear extension from 30 NM and 10000 ft
- 7% at 8 NM or more from the runway threshold
- Significant variation of
  - Speed management
  - Landing gear extension
Background

Approach Procedures

Continuous Descent Approach (CDA)
Advanced Continuous Descent Approach (ACDA)
Segmented Continuous Descent Approach (SCDA)

Low Drag Low Power (LDLP)
Optimized LDLP (OLDLP)
Steep LDLP (SLDLP)

- The pilot also intervenes indirectly in speed management during approaches supported by autopilots.
- The speed setpoints are determined automatically, but are dependent on the currently selected flap configuration, which is still determined by the pilot himself.
- Landing gears are also controlled manually by the pilots.

The main noise sources:
- Engines
- Slats
- Flaps
- Landing Gears
- Spoiler
Background

Air Traffic Operation

What are the main impact drivers of the current air traffic operations on the environment in the TMA?
DYNCAT Concept and Methodology

Visualize and measure the influence of ATC

with input from practitioners (pilots, ATCos) and authorities throughout the project

analysis of combined real-world data from all relevant sources
definition of operational concept
prototyping of DYNCAT algorithms into FMS demonstrator on industrial test bench
evaluation / quantification
Expected Results

In this project, pilots, air traffic control and industry are working intensively with the research facilities to present a global picture:

- a series of **categorized and prioritized recommendations and solutions** in form of a **catalogue of measures**.
  - see the impact e.g. of speed restrictions and what measures ATM can take to minimize negative influences.
  - suggestions and recommendations for innovative operational changes to ATM aiming at reducing the environmental impact from aviation.
  - definition of requirements aimed at developing 4D trajectories that are optimised to take account of environmental considerations.
  - suggestions for improvement for pilot training.
  - recommendations for flight procedure designer and authorities.
  - recommendations for equipment and aircraft manufacturers.
Thanks for your attention!
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Further information about the DYNCAT project on the SESAR website: https://www.sesarju.eu/projects/dyncat